

Creation of an:

International Sanctions Organization

Having the delegated remit to investigate allegations of illegal annexation, occupation, invasion, and genocidal conduct. As against alleged aggressor states.

With jurisdiction to act upon complaints on behalf of treaty members, and injured states. Having powers within signatory states to lawfully seize property of an aggressor state where a finding of illegal annexation, and or occupation, and or invasion, and or genocidal conduct is proven on a preponderance of evidence. Cases estimated or claimed to be above \$500m should be heard by a 3 Judge panel, and below this threshold may be heard by a single judge. Judgements of the ICC being recognized and compensable along with or in addition to ISO findings.

Suggested signatories and founder member states should be invited to participate and to collectively fund the new ISO. Which process may begin with the Ukraine Contact Group of nations.

Reparations may be awarded to complainants for amelioration of damage to infrastructure, institutions, the economy, and the losses suffered in the lives of injured citizens. With funding for reparations derived from seized property of aggressors.

Refusal by an aggressor to meet shortfalls in reparations derived from seized property may be punished by sanctions, which may include suspension or expulsion from the WTO and other international trade bodies.

Mark Taylor

Solicitor of The Supreme Court of England and Wales

Hardwicke scholar of Lincoln's Inn, London 1997, called to the bar thereby 1998, Barrister (not practicing).

Now residing in Virginia USA.